

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,832	02/04/2004	Yi-Hua Tsao	200312756-1	200312756-1 3953	
22879	7590 11/28/2006		EXAMINER		
	PACKARD COMPAN	TRAN, LY T			
	.400, 3404 E. HARMONY UAL PROPERTY ADMII	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2853		
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 		Application No	<u> </u>	Applicant(s)			
			•				
	Office Action Summary	10/771,832		TSAO, YI-HUA			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	T. MANUAL DESTRUCTION	Ly T. TRAN		2853			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cove	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INSTRUMENT OF	DATE OF THIS C .136(a). In no event, how d will apply and will expire te, cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this c) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
·='	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCL</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-fir ance except for fo	rmal matters, pro		e ments is		
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ison Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a comparison of the control of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin State and State a	awn from consider for election require fer. for epted or b) objection is required if the	ement. ojected to by the E d in abeyance. See ne drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	e			

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/30/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choy (EP 1301491) in view of Leenders et al (USPN 5,568,173) and Kasperchik et al. (USPN 6,585,464).

With respect to claims 1-19, Choy discloses an apparatus and a method of enhancing color space comprising:

Art Unit: 2853

- A pen set configured to depositing dye based ink and fixer on a print medium in a print zone ([0028], [0025])
- The depositing dye based ink and fixer further comprises underprinting the fixer on the print medium and then depositing the dye based in n the print medium (Fig.2)
- A pen set is configured to depositing a layer of the fixer over the deposited dye based ink ([0028])
- Print medium comprises plain paper ([0025])
- Applying heat to the print zone after the depositing dye based ink ([0029])
- Applying heat to the print zone prior to the depositing dye based ink and fixer ([0029])
- The depositing is effected by one pass print mode, two pass mode ([0028])

However, Choy fails to teach the temperature is between about 45 and 85 or 45 and 55 degrees and the charged polymer fixer.

Leenders et al teaches the temperature is between about 45 and 85 or 45 and 55 degrees (Column 11: line 5-8).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to heat at the temperature as taught by Leenders. The motivation of doing is to obtain a desired optical density increase.

Kasperchik teaches charged polymer fixer (Column 3: line 5-12, Column 4: line 5-22).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,832

Art Unit: 2853

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use charged polymer fixer as taught by Kasperchik. The motivation of doing so is improve edge acuity and achieve saturated color.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T. TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LT

November 22, 2006

STEPHEN MEIER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER